



11

HANDBOOK
of tables for
PROBABILITY
and
STATISTICS

SECOND EDITION

EDITOR

WILLIAM H. BEYER, PH.D.
Professor, Department of Mathematics
University of Akron (Ohio)

Published by

THE CHEMICAL RUBBER CO.

18901 Cranwood Parkway, Cleveland, Ohio 44128

Preface

Statistics is an important component of scientific reasoning, as well as an integral part of academics, business, and technology. As viewed by the late Sir Ronald Fisher, statistics is the key technology of the present day. Practicing statisticians and scientists working in diverse fields need an authoritative reference handbook of statistical tables developed to "aid" in the investigation and solution of many of today's challenging problems. This book has been compiled and arranged to meet the needs of these users of statistics.

This Second Edition of the Handbook of tables for Probability and Statistics brings together in a logically arranged, documented, and readily usable form an extensive collection of relatively standard statistical tables. The general arrangement of the First Edition has been retained. Many of the tables have been expanded and increased in effectiveness. All tables have been corrected of all errors detected. Examples of expanded tables are:

- Individual Terms of the Binomial Distribution
- Cumulative Terms of the Binomial Distribution
- Confidence Limits for Proportions
- Tests of Significance in 2×2 Contingency Tables
- Critical Values for Testing Outliers
- Critical Values of U in the Mann-Whitney Test
- Distribution of the Total Number-of-Runs Test
- Number of Combinations

Included in the expository section of the Handbook (Part I) is a completely rewritten section on descriptive statistics.

Additional tables and graphs which enhance the importance of this Second Edition are:

- Summary of Significance Tests
- Summary of Confidence Intervals
- Table of Signs for Calculating Effects in Factorial Designs up to Six Factors
- Operating Characteristic (OC) Curves for Tests on the Mean and Standard Deviation(s) of Normal Distributions
- Cochran's Test for the Homogeneity of Variances
- Percentage Points of the Maximum F-Ratio
- Confidence Limits for σ Based on Mean Range
- Critical Values for Duncan's New Multiple Range Test
- Critical Values for Rank-Sum Tests for Dispersion
- Cumulative Sum Control Charts (CSCC)
- Logarithms of the Binomial Coefficients

Preparation of this enlarged Second Edition has been possible only through the participation of recognized authorities who have taken time from their busy schedules to interpret their thoughts into writing. The Editor has been fortunate indeed to secure the

All rights reserved. This book, or any parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written consent from the publisher. Printed in the United States of America.

© 1966, 1968 by THE CHEMICAL RUBBER CO.

Second printing, 1974

Library of Congress Card No. 66-17301

International Standard Book No. 0-87819-692-7

Preface

aid of a well coordinated and specially selected advisory board. The names of members of the advisory board are presented in the forefront of this handbook. The Editor is most grateful to them for their continued cooperation and for their invaluable contributions.

The Editor gratefully acknowledges the authors, editors, and publishers who gave permission to reproduce these tables. Reference to the sources of material used in this handbook is indicated in the acknowledgment section. It is quite possible that proper credit has not always been given. Regrets and apologies are offered to the authors of such material.

To the many users of the current edition who sent in suggestions for alterations and additions, the Editorial Staff extends a special thanks. It is hoped that those interested will continue to send in suggestions and comments to assist in the continuous improvement of the contents.

William H. Beyer
April, 1968

Advisory Board

Editor and Chairman

WILLIAM H. BEYER, Ph.D.
Professor, Department of Mathematics
University of Akron (Ohio)

Rolf E. Bargmann, Ph.D.
Department of Statistics
University of Georgia
Athens, Georgia 30601

Ralph A. Bradley, Ph.D.
Professor and Head,
Department of Statistics
The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida 32306

Dr. Herbert A. David, Ph.D.
Department of Biostatistics
University of North Carolina
Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514

Spencer M. Free, Jr., Ph.D.
Section Head, Statistics Section
Smith, Kline and French Laboratories
Research and Development Division
1500 Spring Garden St.
Philadelphia, Pa. 19101

Franklin A. Graybill, Ph.D.
Director, Statistical Laboratory
Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521

Boyd Harshbarger, Ph.D.
Head, Department of Statistics
Director, Statistical Laboratory
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

Samuel M. Selby, Ph.D.
Head, Department of Mathematics
University of Akron
302 E. Buchtel Ave.
Akron, Ohio 44304

Contents

Greek Alphabet.....	1
Part I—PROBABILITY AND STATISTICS	
Descriptive Statistics.....	2
Mean (Arithmetic Mean).....	2
Weighted Mean (Weighted Arithmetic Mean).....	2
Geometric Mean.....	2
Harmonic Mean.....	2
Relation Between Arithmetic, Geometric, and Harmonic Mean.....	3
Mode.....	3
Median.....	3
Empirical Relation Between Mean, Median, and Mode.....	3
Quartiles.....	3
Deciles.....	4
Percentiles.....	4
Mean Deviation.....	4
Standard Deviation.....	4
Variance.....	5
Range.....	5
Root Mean Square.....	5
Interquartile Range.....	5
Quartile Deviation (Semi-Interquartile Range).....	6
Coefficient of Variation.....	6
Coefficient of Quartile Variation.....	6
Standardized Variable (Standard Scores).....	6
Moments.....	6
Coefficient of Skewness.....	6
Coefficient of Momental Skewness.....	7
Pearson's First Coefficient of Skewness.....	7
Pearson's Second Coefficient of Skewness.....	7
Quartile Coefficient of Skewness.....	7
Coefficient of Kurtosis.....	7
Coefficient of Excess (Kurtosis).....	7
Sheppard's Corrections for Grouping.....	7
Curve Fitting, Regression, and Correlation.....	7
Curve Fitting.....	8
Regression and Correlation.....	8
Basic Concept for Algebra of Sets.....	9
Probability.....	10
Definitions.....	11
Definition of Probability.....	11
Marginal and Conditional Probability.....	11
Probability Theorems.....	12
Random Variable.....	12
Probability Function (Discrete Case).....	13
Cumulative Distribution Function (Discrete Case).....	14
Probability Density (Continuous Case).....	14
Cumulative Distribution Function (Continuous Case).....	14
Mathematical Expectation.....	14
A. Expected Value.....	15
B. Moments.....	15

Contents

C. Generating Functions.....	16
D. Cumulant Generating Function.....	17
E. Characteristic Functions.....	17
Multivariate Distributions.....	17
A. Discrete Case.....	17
B. Continuous Case.....	17
Moments.....	17
Marginal and Conditional Distributions.....	18
Probability Distributions.....	18
A. Discrete Case.....	19
1. Discrete Uniform Distribution.....	19
2. Binomial Distribution.....	19
3. Geometric Distribution.....	19
4. Multinomial Distribution.....	20
5. Poisson Distribution.....	20
6. Hypergeometric Distribution.....	20
7. Negative Binomial Distribution.....	20
B. Continuous.....	21
1. Uniform Distribution.....	21
2. Normal Distribution.....	21
3. Gamma Distribution.....	21
4. Exponential Distribution.....	22
5. Beta Distribution.....	22
Sampling Distributions.....	22
1. Chi-Square Distribution.....	23
Reproductive Property of Chi-Square Distribution.....	23
2. Snedecor's F-Distribution.....	23
3. Student's t-Distribution.....	23
Summary of Significance Tests.....	24
Testing for the Value of a Specified Parameter.....	25
Comparison of Two Populations.....	25
Summary of Confidence Intervals.....	26
Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) Tables.....	27
One-Way Classification.....	28
Two-Way Classification With One Observation Per Cell.....	28
Nested Classifications With Unequal Samples.....	29
Nested Classifications With Equal Samples.....	30
Fixed Model Two-Factor Factorial Experiment in a One-Way Classification Design.....	31
Fixed Model Three-Factor Factorial Experiment in a Completely Randomized Design.....	32
t Latin Square.....	33
Graeco-Latin Square.....	35
Youden Square.....	36
Balanced Incomplete Block (BIB).....	36
General Linear Model.....	37
1. Notation.....	37
2. General Linear Model.....	37
2.1 The Simple Regression Model.....	38
2.2 Multiple Regression Model.....	38
2.3 One-Way Classification Analysis of Variance.....	39
2.4 Two-Way Classification (Two Factors Factorial).....	39
2.5 Analysis of Covariance.....	40
3. Summary of Rules for Matrix Operations.....	41
3.1 Expectation.....	41
3.2 Partitioning of Determinants.....	41
3.3 Inverse of a Partitioned Matrix.....	41
3.4 Characteristic Roots.....	42
3.5 Differentiation.....	42
3.6 Some Additional Definitions and Rules.....	42
4. Principle of Minimizing Quadratic Forms and Gauss-Markov Theorem.....	43
4.1 Some Remarks on Multivariate Distributions.....	44
4.2 The Principle of Least Squares.....	44
4.3 Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimates.....	45

5. General Linear Hypothesis of Full Rank	46
5.1 Notation	46
5.2 Simple Linear Regression	47
5.3 Analysis of Variance, One-Way Classification	47
5.4 Multiple Linear Regression	48
5.5 Randomized Blocks	49
5.6 Quadratic Form Due to Hypothesis	50
5.7 Sum of Squares Due to Error	50
5.8 Summary	51
5.9 Computational Procedure for Testing a Hypothesis	51
5.10 Regression Significance Test	52
5.11 Alternate Form of the Distribution	52
6. General Linear Model of Less Than Full Rank	52
6.1 Estimable Function and Estimability	53
6.2 General Linear Hypothesis Model of Less Than Full Rank	55
6.3 Constraints and Conditions	56
Simplified Computations for Multiple Regression	58
Algebraic Procedure	58
Algebraic Procedure for the Forward Solution of the Abbreviated Doolittle Method	60
Tests of Significance	61
Backward Solution of the Abbreviated Doolittle Method	62
Plans for Design of Experiments	64
Selected Latin Squares	64
Graeco-Latin Squares	64
Index to Plans of Factorial Experiments Confounded in Randomized Incomplete Blocks	65
Confounded Designs for Other Factorial Experiments	67
Index to Plans for 2 ⁿ Factorials in Fractional Replication	73
Index to Plans, Incomplete Block Designs	84
Index to Plans, Incomplete Latin Squares	93
Main Effect and Interactions in 2 ¹ , 2 ² , 2 ³ , 2 ⁴ and 2 ⁵ Factorial Designs	106
Finite Differences	110
Function Build-up from Differences	113
Interpolation	113
Newton's Forward Formula	113
Newton's Backward Formula	114
Gauss' Forward Formula	114
Gauss' Backward Formula	114
Stirling's Formula	114
Steffenson's Formula	114
Bessel's Formula	114
Everett's Formula	114
Bessel's Formula (unmodified)	116
Everett's Formula (unmodified)	116
Generalized Throwback	118
Symmetric Formulae for Interpolation to Halves	118
Interpolation Techniques Which Do Not Require the Function to be Tabulated for Equal Interval of the Argument	119
a) Lagrangian Polynomials	119
b) Divided Differences	119
c) Adjusted Divided Differences	120
d) Iterative Linear Interpolation	122
e) Gauss' Trigonometric Interpolation Formula	122
f) Reciprocal Differences	123
Inverse Interpolation	124
Part II—NORMAL DISTRIBUTION	
II.1 The Normal Probability Function and Related Functions	125
II.2 Tolerance Factors for Normal Distributions	135
II.3 Factors for Computing Probable Errors	140
II.4 Probability of Occurrence of Deviations	143
II.5 Operating Characteristic (OC) Curves for a Test on the Mean of a Normal Distribution With Known Standard Deviation	144

II.6 Bivariate Normal Probabilities	147
II.7 Circular Normal Probabilities	151
II.8 Circular Error Probabilities	154
II.9 Charts of Upper 1%, 2.5%, and 5% Points of the Distribution of the Largest Characteristic Root	157
II.10 Probit Analysis	170
Part III—BINOMIAL, POISSON, HYPERGEOMETRIC, AND NEGATIVE BINOMIAL DISTRIBUTIONS	
III.1 Individual Terms, Binomial Distribution	182
III.2 Cumulative Terms, Binomial Distribution	194
III.3 Individual Terms, Poisson Distribution	206
III.4 Cumulative Terms, Poisson Distribution	212
III.5 Confidence Limits for Proportions	219
III.6 Confidence Limits for the Expected Value of a Poisson Distribution	238
III.7 Various Functions of p and q = 1 - p	240
III.8 Hypergeometric Distribution	245
III.9 Negative Binomial Distribution	250
III.10 Percentage Points of the Beta Distribution	251
III.11 Tests of Significance in 2 × 2 Contingency Tables	266
Part IV—STUDENT'S t-DISTRIBUTION	
IV.1 Percentage Points, Student's t-Distribution	282
IV.2 Power Function of the t-Test	284
IV.3 Number of Observations for t-Test of Mean	286
IV.4 Number of Observations for t-Test of Difference Between Two Means	288
IV.5 Operating Characteristic (OC) Curves for a Test on the Mean of a Normal Distribution With Unknown Standard Deviation	290
Part V—CHI-SQUARE DISTRIBUTION	
V.1 Percentage Points, Chi-Square Distribution	293
V.2 Percentage Points, Chi-Square Over Degrees of Freedom Distribution	295
V.3 Number of Observations for the Comparison of a Population Variance With a Standard Value Using the Chi-Square Test	299
V.4 Operating Characteristic (OC) Curves for a Test on the Standard Deviation of a Normal Distribution	300
Part VI—F-DISTRIBUTION	
VI.1 Percentage Points, F-Distribution	304
VI.2 Power Functions of the Analysis-of-Variance Tests	311
VI.3 Number of Observations Required for the Comparison of Two Population Variances Using the F-Test	320
VI.4 Operating Characteristic (OC) Curves for a Test on the Standard Deviations of Two Normal Distributions	322
VI.5 Cochran's Test for the Homogeneity of Variances	325
VI.6 Percentage Points of the Maximum F-Ratio	328
Part VII—ORDER STATISTICS	
VII.1 Expected Values of Order Statistics From a Standard Normal Population	330
VII.2 Variances and Covariances of Order Statistics	332
VII.3 Confidence Intervals for Medians	338
VII.4 Critical Values for Testing Outliers	339
VII.5 Percentile Estimates in Large Samples	346
VII.6 Simple Estimates in Small Samples	348
Part VIII—RANGE AND STUDENTIZED RANGE	
VIII.1 Probability Integral of the Range	351
VIII.2 Percentage Points, Distribution of the Range	360
VIII.3 Percentage Points, Studentized Range	361
VIII.4 Critical Values for Duncan's New Multiple Range Test	368
VIII.5 Substitute t-Ratios	379

VIII.6 Substitute F-Ratio..... 382
 VIII.7 Analysis of Variance Based on Range..... 385
 VIII.8 Confidence Intervals for σ Based on Mean Range..... 387

Part IX—CORRELATION COEFFICIENT

IX.1 Percentage Points, Distribution of the Correlation Coefficient when $\rho = 0$ 389
 IX.2 Confidence Limits for the Population Correlation Coefficient..... 391
 IX.3 The Transformation $z = \tanh^{-1} r$ for the Correlation Coefficient..... 394

Part X—NON-PARAMETRIC STATISTICS

X.1 Critical Values for the Sign Test..... 397
 X.2 Critical Values of T in the Wilcoxon Matched-Pairs Signed-Ranks Test..... 399
 X.3 Probabilities for the Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney) Two-Sample Statistic..... 401
 X.4 Critical Values of U in the Wilcoxon (Mann-Whitney) Two-Sample Statistic..... 405
 X.5 Critical Values for the Wilcoxon Rank Sum Test..... 409
 X.6 Distribution of the Total Number-of-Runs Test..... 414
 X.7 Critical Values for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov One-Sample Statistic..... 425
 X.8 Critical Values for the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Two-Sample Statistic..... 427
 X.9 Kruskal-Wallis One-Way Analysis of Variance by Ranks..... 430
 X.10 Critical Values for a Sum of Ranks Procedure for Relative Spread in Unpaired Samples..... 433
 X.11 Significant Values for a Rank-Sum Test for Dispersion..... 442
 X.12 Critical Values of Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient..... 445
 X.13 Critical Values of Kendall's Rank Correlation Coefficient..... 449

Part XI—QUALITY CONTROL

XI.1 Factors for Computing Control Limits..... 451
 XI.2 Percentage Points of the Distribution of the Mean Deviation..... 455
 XI.3 Cumulative Sum Control Charts (CSCC)..... 456
 A. CSCC for the Mean..... 456
 B. CSCC for Sample Ranges..... 458
 C. CSCC for Sample Variances..... 459
 D. CSCC for Number of Defectives, np, or Fraction Defective p..... 461
 E. CSCC for Number of Defects, c..... 463
 F. Summary of CSCC Limits..... 464

Part XII—MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICAL TABLES

XII.1 Number of Permutations..... 466
 XII.2 Number of Combinations..... 467
 XII.3 Logarithms of the Binomial Coefficients..... 472
 XII.4 Random Units..... 479
 XII.5 Random Normal Numbers, $\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$ 484
 XII.6 Random Normal Numbers, $\mu = 2, \sigma = 1$ 494
 XII.7 Random Normal Numbers, $\mu = 0, \sigma = 2$ 499
 XII.8 Orthogonal Polynomials..... 504
 XII.9 Percentage Points of Pearson Curves..... 518

Part XIII—MISCELLANEOUS MATHEMATICAL TABLES

XIII.1 Miscellaneous Constants..... 527
 XIII.2 Numerical Constants..... 528
 XIII.3 Radians to Degrees, Minutes, and Seconds..... 529
 XIII.4 Natural Functions for Angles in Radians..... 530
 XIII.5 Squares, Cubes, and Roots..... 532
 XIII.6 Exponential Functions..... 549
 XIII.7 Six-Place Logarithms..... 557
 XIII.8 Natural or Napierian Logarithms..... 579
 XIII.9 Factorials and Their Logarithms..... 587
 XIII.10 Reciprocals of Factorials and Their Logarithms..... 589
 XIII.11 Powers of Numbers..... 590
 XIII.12 Sums of Powers of Integers..... 592
 XIII.13 Integrals..... 594
 XIII.14 Gamma Function..... 635

Index

GREEK ALPHABET

Greek letter	Greek name	English equivalent	Greek letter	Greek name	English equivalent
$\text{A } \alpha$	Alpha	a	$\text{N } \nu$	Nu	n
$\text{B } \beta$	Beta	b	$\text{Ξ } \xi$	Xi	x
$\text{Γ } \gamma$	Gamma	g	$\text{O } \omicron$	Omicron	o
$\text{Δ } \delta$	Delta	d	$\text{Π } \pi$	Pi	p
$\text{E } \epsilon$	Epsilon	e	$\text{Ρ } \rho$	Rho	r
$\text{Ζ } \zeta$	Zeta	z	$\text{Σ } \sigma$	Sigma	s
$\text{Η } \eta$	Eta	h	$\text{T } \tau$	Tau	t
$\text{Θ } \theta \vartheta$	Theta	th	$\text{Υ } \upsilon$	Upsilon	u
$\text{Ι } \iota$	Iota	i	$\text{Φ } \phi \varphi$	Phi	ph
$\text{Κ } \kappa$	Kappa	k	$\text{Χ } \chi$	Chi	ch
$\text{Λ } \lambda$	Lambda	l	$\text{Ψ } \psi$	Psi	ps
$\text{Μ } \mu$	Mu	m	$\text{Ω } \omega$	Omega	o

I. Probability and Statistics

DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

a) Ungrouped Data

The formulas of this section designated as a) apply to a random sample of size n , denoted by $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

b) Grouped Data

The formulas of this section designated as b) apply to data grouped into a frequency distribution having class marks $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and corresponding class frequencies $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The total number of observations given by

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^k f_i$$

In the formulas that follow, c denotes the width of the class interval, x_o denotes one of the class marks taken to be the computing origin, and $u_i = \frac{x_i - x_o}{c}$. Then coded class marks are obtained by replacing the original class marks with the integers $\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ where 0 corresponds to class mark x_o in the original scale.

Mean (Arithmetic Mean)

$$a) \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n}{n}$$

$$b.1) \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i x_i = \frac{f_1 x_1 + f_2 x_2 + \dots + f_k x_k}{n}$$

If data is coded

$$b.2) \bar{x} = x_o + c \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k f_i u_i}{n}$$

Weighted Mean (Weighted Arithmetic Mean)

If with each value x_i is associated a weighting factor $w_i \geq 0$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ is the total weight, and

$$a) \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} = \frac{w_1 x_1 + w_2 x_2 + \dots + w_n x_n}{w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n}$$

Geometric Mean

$$a) \text{G.M.} = \sqrt[n]{x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n}$$

In logarithmic form

$$\log (\text{G.M.}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i = \frac{\log x_1 + \log x_2 + \dots + \log x_n}{n}$$

$$b) \text{G.M.} = \sqrt[n]{x_1^{f_1} \cdot x_2^{f_2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_k^{f_k}}$$

In logarithmic form

$$\log (\text{G.M.}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \log x_i = \frac{f_1 \log x_1 + f_2 \log x_2 + \dots + f_k \log x_k}{n}$$

Harmonic Mean

$$a) \text{H.M.} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i}} = \frac{n}{\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n}}$$

$$b) \text{H.M.} = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{f_i}{x_i}} = \frac{n}{\frac{f_1}{x_1} + \frac{f_2}{x_2} + \dots + \frac{f_k}{x_k}}$$

Relation Between Arithmetic, Geometric, and Harmonic Mean

$\text{H.M.} \leq \text{G.M.} \leq \bar{x}$, (Equality sign holds only if all sample values are identical.)

Mode

a) A mode M_o of a sample of size n is a value which occurs with greatest frequency, i.e., it is the most common value. A mode may not exist, and even if it does exist it may not be unique.

$$b) M_o = L + C \frac{\Delta_1}{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2}$$

where L is the lower class boundary of the modal class (class containing the mode),

Δ_1 is the excess of modal frequency over frequency of next lower class,

Δ_2 is the excess of modal frequency over frequency of next higher class.

Median

a) If the sample is arranged in ascending order of magnitude, then the median M_d is given by the $\frac{n+1}{2}$ nd value. When n is odd, the median is the middle value of the set of ordered data; when n is even, the median is usually taken as the mean of the two middle values of the set of ordered data.

$$b) M_d = L + c \frac{\frac{n}{2} - F_c}{f_m}$$

where L is lower class boundary of median class (class containing the median),

F_c is the sum of the frequencies of all classes lower than the median class,

f_m is the frequency of the median class.

Empirical Relation Between Mean, Median, and Mode

$$\text{Mean-Mode} = 3 (\text{Mean-Median})$$

Rubber Co.
Cleveland OH
1968

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA) TABLES

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) table containing the sum of squares, degrees of freedom, mean square, expectations, etc., present the initial analysis in a compact form. This kind of tabular representation is customarily used to set out the results of analysis of variance calculations. Appropriate ANOVA tables for various experimental design models are presented here. In the tables, the use of "dot notation" indicates a summing over all observations in the population, i.e., when summing over a suffix, that suffix is replaced by a dot. Small letters refer to observations, whereas capital letters refer to observation totals.

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR THE ONE-WAY CLASSIFICATION

Model: $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Test Statistic
Between groups	$k - 1$	$S_1 = \sum_i n_i (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \sum_i \left(\frac{Y_i^2}{n_i} \right) - \frac{Y_{..}^2}{n}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{S_1}{k - 1}$	$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2}$
Within groups	$n - k$	$S_2 = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2 = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2 - \sum_i \left(\frac{Y_i^2}{n_i} \right)$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{n - k}$	
Total	$n - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2 - \frac{Y_{..}^2}{n}$		

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for	
			Fixed Model	Random Model
Between groups	$k - 1$	s_1^2	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum_i n_i \alpha_i^2}{k - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{k - 1} \left(n - \frac{\sum_i n_i^2}{n} \right) \sigma_n^2$
Within groups	$n - k$	s_2^2	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$n - 1$			

Notation:

$Y_i = \sum_j y_{ij}; Y_{..} = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}; \bar{y}_{i.} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_j y_{ij} = \frac{1}{n_i} Y_i;$

$n = \sum_i n_i; \bar{y}_{..} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij} = \frac{Y_{..}}{n}$

σ_a^2 between

σ^2 with

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR THE TWO-WAY CLASSIFICATION WITH ONE OBSERVATION PER CELL

Model: $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, c; j = 1, 2, \dots, r$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Test Statistic
Column effects	$c - 1$	$SSC = \sum_i \frac{Y_i^2}{r} - \frac{Y^2}{cr}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{SSC}{c - 1}$	$\frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2}$
Row effects	$r - 1$	$SSR = \sum_j \frac{Y_j^2}{c} - \frac{Y^2}{cr}$	$s_2^2 = \frac{SSR}{r - 1}$	$\frac{s_2^2}{s_3^2}$
Error	$(c - 1)(r - 1)$	$SSE = SST - SSC - SSR$	$s_3^2 = \frac{SSE}{(c - 1)(r - 1)}$	
Total	$cr - 1$	$SST = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij}^2 - \frac{Y^2}{cr}$		

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for		
			Fixed Model	Mixed Model (σ)	Random Model
Column effects	$c - 1$	s_1^2	$\sigma^2 + r \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i^2}{c - 1} \right)$	$\sigma^2 + r \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i^2}{c - 1} \right)$	$\sigma^2 + r\sigma_n^2$
Row effects	$r - 1$	s_2^2	$\sigma^2 + c \left(\frac{\sum_j \beta_j^2}{r - 1} \right)$	$\sigma^2 + c\sigma_\beta^2$	$\sigma^2 + c\sigma_\beta^2$
Error	$(c - 1)(r - 1)$	s_3^2	σ^2	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$cr - 1$				

$\frac{1}{500}$

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR NESTED CLASSIFICATIONS WITH UNEQUAL SAMPLES

Model: $y_{iju} = \mu + \alpha_i + \delta_j + \epsilon_{iju}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots, n_i; u = 1, 2, \dots, n_{ij}$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for Fixed Model (α, δ)
Between main groups	$k - 1$	$S_1 = \sum_i \frac{Y_{i..}^2}{n_i} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{n}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{S_1}{k - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum_i n_i \alpha_i^2}{k - 1}$
Subgroups within main groups (experimental error)	$\sum_i n_i - k$	$S_2 = \sum_i \sum_j \frac{Y_{ij.}^2}{n_{ij}} - \sum_i \frac{Y_{i..}^2}{n_i}$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{\sum_i n_i - k}$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum_i \sum_j n_{ij} \delta_{ij}^2}{\sum_i n_i - k}$
Within subgroups (sampling error)	$n_{..} - \sum_i n_i$	$S_3 = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_u y_{iju}^2 - \sum_i \sum_j \frac{Y_{ij.}^2}{n_{ij}}$	$s_3^2 = \frac{S_3}{n_{..} - \sum_i n_i}$	σ^2
Total	$n_{..} - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_u y_{iju}^2 - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{n}$		

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for		
			Mixed Model (α)	Mixed Model (δ)	Random Model
Between main groups	$k - 1$	s_1^2	$\sigma^2 + b\alpha_i^2 + \frac{\sum_i n_i \alpha_i^2}{k - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + c\delta_{ij}^2$	$\sigma^2 + b\alpha_i^2 + c\delta_{ij}^2$
Experimental error	$\sum_i n_i - k$	s_2^2	$\sigma^2 + a\delta_{ij}^2$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{\sum_i \sum_j n_{ij} \delta_{ij}^2}{\sum_i n_i - k}$	$\sigma^2 + a\delta_{ij}^2$
Sampling error	$n_{..} - \sum_i n_i$	s_3^2	σ^2	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$n_{..} - 1$				

where

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a &= \frac{n_{..} - \sum_i \frac{\sum_j n_{ij}^2}{n_i}}{\sum_i n_i - k} \\ b &= \frac{\sum_i \frac{\sum_j n_{ij}^2}{n_i} - \sum_i \sum_j \frac{n_{ij}^2}{n_i}}{k - 1} \\ c &= \frac{n_{..} - \sum_i n_i}{k - 1} \end{aligned} \right.$$

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR NESTED CLASSIFICATIONS WITH EQUAL SAMPLES

Model: $y_{iju} = \mu + \alpha_i + \delta_j + \epsilon_{iju}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k; j = 1, 2, \dots, n; u = 1, 2, \dots, r$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for Fixed Model (α, δ)
Between main groups	$k - 1$	$S_1 = \sum_i \frac{Y_{i..}^2}{nr} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{knr}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{S_1}{k - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + nr \frac{\sum_i \alpha_i^2}{k - 1}$
Experimental error	$k(n - 1)$	$S_2 = \frac{\sum_i \sum_j Y_{ij.}^2}{r} - \frac{\sum_i Y_{i..}^2}{nr}$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{k(n - 1)}$	$\sigma^2 + r \frac{\sum_i \sum_j \delta_{ij}^2}{k(n - 1)}$
Sampling error	$kn(r - 1)$	$S_3 = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_u y_{iju}^2 - \frac{\sum_i \sum_j Y_{ij.}^2}{r}$	$s_3^2 = \frac{S_3}{kn(r - 1)}$	σ^2
Total	$knr - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_u y_{iju}^2 - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{knr}$		

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for		
			Mixed Model (α)	Mixed Model (δ)	Random Model
Between main groups	$k - 1$	s_1^2	$\sigma^2 + r\alpha_i^2 + nr \left(\frac{\sum_i \alpha_i^2}{k - 1} \right)$	$\sigma^2 + nr\delta_{ij}^2$	$\sigma^2 + r\alpha_i^2 + nr\delta_{ij}^2$
Experimental error	$k(n - 1)$	s_2^2	$\sigma^2 + r\delta_{ij}^2$	$\sigma^2 + \frac{r \sum_i \sum_j \delta_{ij}^2}{k(n - 1)}$	$\sigma^2 + r\delta_{ij}^2$
Sampling error	$kn(r - 1)$	s_3^2	σ^2	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$knr - 1$				

where

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} a &= b = r \\ c &= nr \end{aligned} \right.$$

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR A FIXED MODEL TWO-FACTOR FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT IN A ONE-WAY CLASSIFICATION DESIGN

Model: $y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, c; j = 1, 2, \dots, r; u = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for Fixed Model $\{\alpha, \beta, (\alpha\beta)\}$
Treatment combinations	$cr - 1$	$SSTr$	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSTr}{cr - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + n \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\mu_{ij} - \mu)^2}{cr - 1}$
Factor A	$c - 1$	SSA	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSA}{c - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + rn \sum_{i,j} \frac{\alpha_i^2}{c - 1}$
Factor B	$r - 1$	SSB	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSB}{r - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + cn \sum_{i,j} \frac{\beta_j^2}{r - 1}$
Interaction	$(c - 1)(r - 1)$	$SSAB = SSTr - SSA - SSB$	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSAB}{(c - 1)(r - 1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\alpha\beta)_{ij}^2}{(c - 1)(r - 1)}$
Within (error)	$cr(n - 1)$	$SSW = SST - SSTr$	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSW}{cr(n - 1)}$	σ^2
Total	$crn - 1$	SST		

where

$$SSTr = \sum_{i,j} \frac{Y_{ij}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{crn}$$

$$SSA = \sum_i \frac{Y_{i..}^2}{rn} - \frac{Y^2}{crn}$$

$$SSB = \sum_j \frac{Y_{.j.}^2}{cn} - \frac{Y^2}{crn}$$

$$SSAB = SSTr - SSA - SSB = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{i',j'} \frac{Y_{ij}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{crn}$$

Source of Variation	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for	
		Random Model	Mixed Model (α)
Factor A	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSA}{c - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + rn\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha}^2 + rn \sum_{i,j} \frac{\alpha_i^2}{c - 1}$
Factor B	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSB}{r - 1}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\beta}^2 + cn\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$	$\sigma^2 + cn\sigma_{\beta}^2$
Interaction	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSAB}{(c - 1)(r - 1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$
Within (error)	$s_i^2 = \frac{SSW}{cr(n - 1)}$	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$s_i^2 = \frac{SST}{crn - 1}$		

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR A THREE-FACTOR FACTORIAL EXPERIMENT IN A COMPLETELY RANDOMIZED DESIGN

Model: $y_{ijkl} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + (\alpha\beta)_{ij} + (\alpha\gamma)_{ik} + (\beta\gamma)_{jk} + (\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk} + \epsilon_{ijkl}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, c; j = 1, 2, \dots, r; k = 1, 2, \dots, l; u = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for Fixed Model
Factor A	$c - 1$	SSA	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + rn \sum_{i,j} \frac{\alpha_i^2}{c - 1}$
Factor B	$r - 1$	SSB	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + cln \sum_{i,j} \frac{\beta_j^2}{r - 1}$
Factor C	$l - 1$	SSC	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + crn \sum_{i,j} \frac{\gamma_k^2}{l - 1}$
Interaction A x B	$(c - 1)(r - 1)$	$SSAB$	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + ln \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\alpha\beta)_{ij}^2}{(c - 1)(r - 1)}$
Interaction A x C	$(c - 1)(l - 1)$	$SSAC$	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + rn \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\alpha\beta)_{ik}^2}{(c - 1)(l - 1)}$
Interaction B x C	$(r - 1)(l - 1)$	$SSBC$	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + cn \sum_{i,j} \frac{(\beta\gamma)_{jk}^2}{(r - 1)(l - 1)}$
Interaction A x B x C	$(c - 1)(r - 1)(l - 1)$	$SSABC$	s_i^2	$\sigma^2 + n \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{(\alpha\beta\gamma)_{ijk}^2}{(c - 1)(r - 1)(l - 1)}$
Within (error)	$crln(n - 1)$	SSE	s_i^2	σ^2
Total	$crln - 1$	SST		

where

$$SST = \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{i',j',k'} \frac{Y_{ijkl}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SSA = \sum_i \frac{Y_{i...}^2}{rln} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SSB = \sum_j \frac{Y_{.j..}^2}{cln} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SSC = \sum_k \frac{Y_{...k}^2}{crn} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SST(ABC) = \sum_{i,j,k} \sum_{i',j',k'} \frac{Y_{ijkl}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SSTr(AB) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{Y_{ij..}^2}{ln} - \frac{Y^2}{crln}$$

$$SSTr(AC) = \frac{\sum_i \sum_k Y_{i.k}^2}{rn} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{crln}$$

$$SSTr(BC) = \frac{\sum_i \sum_k Y_{i.k}^2}{cn} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{crln}$$

$SSAB = SSTr(AB) - SSA - SSB$
 $SSAC = SSTr(AC) - SSA - SSC$
 $SSBC = SSTr(BC) - SSB - SSC$
 $SSABC = SSTr(ABC) - SSA - SSB - SSC - SSAB - SSAC - SSBC$
 $SSE = SST - SSTr(ABC)$

Source of Variation	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for the		
		Random Model	Mixed Model (α)	Mixed Model (α, β)
Factor A	$\sigma_a^2 = \frac{SSA}{c-1}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + ln\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + rln\sigma_{\alpha}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + ln\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + rln \sum \alpha_i^2$	$\sigma^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + rln \sum \alpha_i^2$
Factor B	$\sigma_b^2 = \frac{SSB}{r-1}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + ln\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2 + cln\sigma_{\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2 + cln\sigma_{\beta}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + rln \sum \alpha_i^2$	$\sigma^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2 + cln \sum \beta_j^2$
Factor C	$\sigma_c^2 = \frac{SSC}{l-1}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2 + crne_{\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2 + crne_{\gamma}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2 + rln \sum \alpha_i^2$	$\sigma^2 + crne_{\gamma}^2 + cln \sum \beta_j^2$
A × B	$\sigma_{ab}^2 = \frac{SSAB}{(c-1)(r-1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2 + ln\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + ln\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + ln \sum_i \sum_j (\alpha\beta)_{ij}^2$
A × C	$\sigma_{ac}^2 = \frac{SSAC}{(c-1)(l-1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + rne_{\alpha\gamma}^2$
B × C	$\sigma_{bc}^2 = \frac{SSBC}{(r-1)(l-1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + cne_{\beta\gamma}^2$
A × B × C	$\sigma_{abc}^2 = \frac{SSABC}{(c-1)(r-1)(l-1)}$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + n\sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^2$
Within (error)	$\sigma^2 = \frac{SSE}{crln-1}$	σ^2	σ^2	σ^2
Total	$\sigma^2 = \frac{SST}{crln-1}$			

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE AND EXPECTED MEAN SQUARES FOR A t × t LATIN SQUARE

Model: $y_{i(j)} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{(ij)} + \epsilon_{i(j)}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, t; j = 1, 2, \dots, t; k = 1, 2, \dots, t$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for Fixed Model
Columns	t - 1	$SSC = \frac{\sum_i Y_{i..}^2}{t} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{t^2}$	$\sigma_c^2 = \frac{SSC}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \alpha_i^2$
Rows	t - 1	$SSR = \frac{\sum_j Y_{.j}^2}{t} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{t^2}$	$\sigma_r^2 = \frac{SSR}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \beta_j^2$
Treatments	t - 1	$SSTr = \frac{\sum_k Y_{...k}^2}{t} - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{t^2}$	$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{SSTr}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \gamma_k^2$
Error	(t - 1)(t - 2)	$SSE = SST - SSC - SSR - SSTr$	$\sigma^2 = \frac{SSE}{(t-1)(t-2)}$	σ^2
Total	t ² - 1	$SST = \sum_i \sum_j y_{i(j)}^2 - \frac{Y_{...}^2}{t^2}$		

Source of Variation	Mean Square	Expected Mean Square for		
		Random Model	Mixed Model (γ)	Mixed Model (α, γ)
Columns	$\sigma_c^2 = \frac{SSC}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\alpha}^2$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\alpha}^2$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \alpha_i^2$
Rows	$\sigma_r^2 = \frac{SSR}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\beta}^2$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\beta}^2$
Treatments	$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{SSTr}{t-1}$	$\sigma^2 + t\sigma_{\gamma}^2$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \gamma_k^2$	$\sigma^2 + t \sum \gamma_k^2$
Error	$\sigma^2 = \frac{SSE}{(t-1)(t-2)}$	σ^2	σ^2	σ^2

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR A GRAECO-LATIN SQUARE

Model: $y_{ijuk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_u + \delta_k + \epsilon_{ijuk}$ ($i, j, u, k = 1, 2, \dots, n$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
Factor I (Rows)	$n - 1$	$S_1 = \frac{\sum_i Y_{i..}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{n^2}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{S_1}{n - 1}$
Factor II (Columns)	$n - 1$	$S_2 = \frac{\sum_j Y_{.j.}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{n^2}$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{n - 1}$
Factor III (Latin Letters)	$n - 1$	$S_3 = \frac{\sum_u Y_{...u}^2}{n - 1} - \frac{Y^2}{n^2}$	$s_3^2 = \frac{S_3}{n - 1}$
Factor IV (Greek Letters)	$n - 1$	$S_4 = \frac{\sum_k Y_{...k}^2}{n} - \frac{Y^2}{n^2}$	$s_4^2 = \frac{S_4}{n - 1}$
Residual	$(n - 1)(n - 3)$	$S_5 = \text{difference}$	$s_5^2 = \frac{S_5}{(n - 1)(n - 3)}$
Total	$n^2 - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ijuk}^2 - \frac{Y^2}{n^2}$	

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR A YOUNDEN SQUARE

Model: $y_{ij\alpha} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_u + \epsilon_{ij\alpha}$
 ($i = 1, 2, \dots, b; j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ($t = b$); $u = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ($k < t$))

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
Blocks (crude)		$S_1 = \sum_i \frac{Y_{i..}^2}{k} - \frac{Y^2}{bk}$	
Treatments (adjusted)	$t - 1$	$S_2 = \frac{t - 1}{bk^2(k - 1)} \sum_j \left(kY_{.j.}^2 - \sum_{i \in (j)} Y_{i..}^2 \right)$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{t - 1}$
Treatments (crude)		$S_3 = \sum_j \frac{Y_{.j.}^2}{r} - \frac{Y^2}{tr}$	
Blocks (adjusted)	$b - 1$	$S_4 = \frac{b - 1}{bk^2(k - 1)} \sum_i \left(rY_{i..}^2 - \sum_{j \in (i)} Y_{.j.}^2 \right)$	$s_4^2 = \frac{S_4}{b - 1}$
Factor II (γ)	$k - 1$	$S_5 = \frac{\sum_u Y_{...u}^2}{k} - \frac{Y^2}{bk}$	$s_5^2 = \frac{S_5}{k - 1}$
Residual	$bk - t - b - k + 2$	$S_6 = S - (S_1 + S_2 + S_3)$ $= S - (S_1 + S_4 + S_5)$	$s_6^2 = \frac{S_6}{bk - t - b - k + 2}$
Total	$bk - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij\alpha}^2 - \frac{Y^2}{bk}$	

(Note that $S_1 + S_2 = S_3 + S_4$)

ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE FOR BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK (BIB)

Model: $y_{ij\alpha} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij\alpha}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, b; j = 1, 2, \dots, t; u = n_{ij}$)

Source of Variation	Degrees of Freedom	Sum of Squares	Mean Square
Blocks	$b - 1$	$S_1 = \frac{\sum_i Y_{i..}^2}{k} - \frac{Y^2}{bk}$	$s_1^2 = \frac{S_1}{b - 1}$
Treatments (adjusted)	$t - 1$	$S_2 = \frac{t - 1}{bk^2(k - 1)} \sum_j \left[kY_{.j.}^2 - \sum_{i \in (j)} Y_{i..}^2 \right]^2$	$s_2^2 = \frac{S_2}{t - 1}$
Residual	$bk - t - b + 1$	$S_3 = \text{difference}$	$s_3^2 = \frac{S_3}{bk - t - b + 1}$
Total	$bk - 1$	$S = \sum_i \sum_j y_{ij\alpha}^2 - \frac{Y^2}{bk}$	

where

- t = number of treatment levels
- b = number of blocks
- k = number of treatment levels per block
- r = number of replications of each treatment level
- λ = number of blocks in which any given pair of treatment levels appear together
- $bk = tr$
- $r(k - 1) = \lambda(t - 1)$

GENERAL LINEAR MODEL

by Dr. Rolf E. Bargmann

1. NOTATION

A matrix will be denoted by bold-face capital letters, e.g., if A has m rows and n columns, we may often specify $A = A(m \times n)$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}$$

A' denotes the transpose of A.

$(A)_{ij} = a_{ij}$ denotes the element in the i 'th row and j 'th column of A.

I denotes the identity matrix.

D_s denotes a diagonal matrix. The subscript indicates the terms in the diagonal.

\tilde{T}, \tilde{U} , i.e., any matrix with a tilde (\sim) above it will denote a lower triangular matrix.

A column vector will be denoted in general, by a lower-case bold-face letter, e.g.,

$$x, y, j, \beta, \xi; x = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \end{bmatrix}$$

Occasionally, capital bold-face letters represent column vectors. Examples are as follows:

T (vector of treatment totals)

B (vector of Block totals).

A lower case letter with a prime denotes a row vector, e.g.,

$$x' = [x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ \dots \ x_p]$$

2. THE GENERAL LINEAR MODEL

2.1. The Simple Regression Model

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + e_i$$

where x_i is a fixed concomitant variable whose values are assumed to be known before an experiment is performed and is not subject to chance. Let E denote the expectation operator, var and cov the (population) variances and covariances, respectively.

$E(e_i) = 0$, $\text{var}(e_i) = \sigma^2$, $\text{cov}(e_i, e_j) = 0$, i.e., $E(y_i) = \alpha + \beta x_i$.

If we write

$$y_1 = \alpha + \beta x_1 + e_1$$

$$y_2 = \alpha + \beta x_2 + e_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n = \alpha + \beta x_n + e_n$$

we can write, in matrix form

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ e_3 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = A \xi + e$$

where A is the design matrix and can be also written in the form

$$A = \begin{matrix} [j & x] \\ (j) & (n) \\ (1) & (1) \end{matrix}$$

The numbers in parentheses denote the order of the matrix.

j is a column vector containing all ones.

x is a column vector of all concomitant observations.

The simple regression model is frequently written in the form

$$y_i = \mu + \beta(x_i - \bar{x}) + e_i$$

where $\mu = \alpha + \beta \bar{x}$.

This, too, can be written in the general linear model form

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (x_1 - \bar{x}) \\ 1 & (x_2 - \bar{x}) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & (x_n - \bar{x}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = A \xi + e$$

where $A = [j, (x - \bar{x})]$.

2.2. Multiple Regression Model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik} + e_i$$

Assumption: $E(e_i) = 0$,

$$\text{var}(y_i) = \text{var}(e_i) = \sigma^2$$

$$\text{cov}(y_i, y_j) = 0$$

If we write

$$y_1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{11} + \beta_2 x_{12} + \beta_3 x_{13} + \dots + \beta_k x_{1k} + e_1$$

$$y_2 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{21} + \beta_2 x_{22} + \beta_3 x_{23} + \dots + \beta_k x_{2k} + e_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{n1} + \beta_2 x_{n2} + \beta_3 x_{n3} + \dots + \beta_k x_{nk} + e_n$$

we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & x_{n3} & \dots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = A \xi + e$$

where $A = [j, X]$, X denotes the matrix of all observations on all concomitant variables.

2.3. One-way Classification Analysis of Variance Model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + e_{ij}$$

where μ = general effect

τ_i = treatment effects

e_{ij} = experimental error,

v treatments with effects $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_v; j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n_i$.

$$y_{11} = \mu + \tau_1 + e_{11}$$

$$y_{12} = \mu + \tau_1 + e_{12}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{1n_1} = \mu + \tau_1 + e_{1n_1}$$

$$y_{21} = \mu + \tau_2 + e_{21}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{2n_2} = \mu + \tau_2 + e_{2n_2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{v1} = \mu + \tau_v + e_{v1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_{vn_v} = \mu + \tau_v + e_{vn_v}$$

We can again write this

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n_2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{r1} \\ y_{r2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{rn_r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_r \end{bmatrix} + e$$

The design matrix A can be written

$$A = [j, A_r](n, (1) (r))$$

where

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & j & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & j \end{bmatrix}$$

and the parameter vector can also be written

$$\xi = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau \end{bmatrix}$$

2.4. Two-way Classification (Two Factors Factorial) Model

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \delta_{ij} + e_{ijk}$$

- where μ = general effect
- α_i = factor A effects (usually row effects)
- β_j = factor B effects (usually column effects)
- δ_{ij} = interaction effects.

For example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ y_{13} \\ y_{21} \\ y_{22} \\ y_{23} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{11} \\ n_{12} \\ n_{13} \\ n_{21} \\ n_{22} \\ n_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j & j & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & j & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & 0 & j & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 \\ j & 0 & j & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 \\ j & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \delta_{11} \\ \delta_{12} \\ \delta_{13} \\ \delta_{21} \\ \delta_{22} \\ \delta_{23} \end{bmatrix} + e$$

y =

A

$\xi + e$

2.5. Analysis of Covariance

Analysis of covariance is equivalent to analysis of variance with one or more concomitant variables added.

For simplicity, let us take one-way classification and one concomitant variable. Model

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta x_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

As a vector equation, this model reads

$$\begin{aligned} y_{11} &= \mu + \tau_1 && + \beta x_{11} + e_{11} \\ y_{12} &= \mu + \tau_1 && + \beta x_{12} + e_{12} \\ &\vdots && \vdots \\ y_{1n_1} &= \mu + \tau_1 && + \beta x_{1n_1} + e_{1n_1} \\ y_{21} &= \mu &+ \tau_2 & + \beta x_{21} + e_{21} \\ &\vdots && \vdots \\ y_{2n_2} &= \mu &+ \tau_2 & + \beta x_{2n_2} + e_{2n_2} \\ y_{r1} &= \mu &+ \tau_r & + \beta x_{r1} + e_{r1} \\ &\vdots && \vdots \\ y_{rn_r} &= \mu &+ \tau_r & + \beta x_{rn_r} + e_{rn_r} \end{aligned}$$

Let $x_1, x_2,$ and x_3 denote the concomitant observations in each group, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} \\ y_{12} \\ \vdots \\ y_{1n_1} \\ y_{21} \\ \vdots \\ y_{2n_2} \\ y_{r1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{rn_r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} j & j & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ j & 0 & j & 0 & x_2 \\ j & 0 & 0 & j & x_3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_r \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} + e$$

y = A $\xi + e$

With the above illustrations, it is clear that we can write a great variety of models in the general linear model form

$$y = A\xi + e$$

3. SUMMARY OF RULES FOR MATRIX OPERATIONS

3.1. Let $E(y) = \mu, \text{var}(y) = \Sigma$, a symmetric matrix containing all possible variances and covariances. Then, $E(My) = M\mu, \text{var}(My) = M\Sigma M'$, for any conforming matrix M. $E(y'M) = \mu'M, \text{var}(y'M) = M'\Sigma M$.

3.2. Partitioning of Determinants

$$\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{vmatrix} = |A| |D - CA^{-1}B| \text{ if } A^{-1} \text{ exists} \\ = |D| |A - BD^{-1}C| \text{ if } D^{-1} \text{ exists}$$

3.3. Inverse of a Partitioned Matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y \\ Z & U \end{bmatrix}$$

where $X = [A - BD^{-1}C]^{-1}$
 $U = [D - CA^{-1}B]^{-1}$
 $Y = -A^{-1}BU$
 $Z = -D^{-1}CX$

3.3.1. Symmetric Case

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A'_{12} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} A^{11} & A^{12} \\ (A^{12})' & A^{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where $A^{11} = [A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A'_{12}]^{-1}$
 $A^{22} = [A_{22} - A'_{12}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}]^{-1}$
 $A^{12} = -A^{11}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}$
 or $A^{12} = -A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}A^{22}$

Computational steps: Order the sets in such a way that A_{22} is the smaller matrix.

- Obtain A_{22}^{-1}
- Multiply $A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}$
- Obtain $A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A'_{12}$
- Obtain $A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A'_{12}$
- Invert the matrix in d.; thus obtain A^{11}
- Obtain $A^{11}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}$ by multiplying matrices from steps e. and b.
- Change all signs in f.; thus obtain A^{12} .
- Obtain $(A^{12})'$ by transposing g.
- Obtain $A'_{12}A^{12}$, the latter factor from g.
- Obtain $I - A'_{12}A^{12}$, i.e., change all signs in off-diagonal elements of the matrix in step i. and complement diagonal elements to 1.
- Obtain $A_{22}^{-1}[I - A'_{12}A^{12}]$, i.e., premultiply the matrix in j. by A_{22}^{-1} obtained in step a. This is A^{22} .

3.4. Characteristic Roots

- ch (AB) = ch (BA) except, possibly, for zero roots.
- Corollary: tr (AB) = tr (BA).
- If ch (A) = λ_c , ch (A⁻¹) = $1/\lambda_c$, and ch (I ± A) = $1 \pm \lambda_c$.

3.5. Differentiation

3.5.1. Definitions:

Let f be a scalar function of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p .

Then $\partial f/\partial x$ denotes a column vector whose i th element is $\partial f/\partial x_i$.

Let f be a scalar function of $x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1p}, x_{21}, x_{22}, \dots, x_{2p}, \dots, x_{p1}, x_{p2}, \dots, x_{pp}$.

Then $\partial f/\partial X$ denotes a matrix whose (i, j) element is $\partial f/\partial x_{ij}$. Note that, in this definition, x_{ij} denotes the element in the i 'th row and j 'th column of X . If there are any functional relations between the elements of X (as, for instance, in a symmetric matrix) these relations will be disregarded in the above definition. In other words, x_{ij} denotes the variable in the i 'th row and j 'th column of X , and x_{ji} denotes that in the j 'th row and i 'th column. If the two happen to be identical, a new symbol will be in order. For example, if $x_{ij} = x_{ji} = x_{ij}$, say, $\partial f/\partial x_{ij} = \partial f/\partial x_{ij} \cdot \partial x_{ij}/\partial y_{ij} + \partial f/\partial x_{ji} \cdot \partial x_{ji}/\partial y_{ij} = \partial f/\partial x_{ij} + \partial f/\partial x_{ji} = (\partial f/\partial X)_{ij} + (\partial f/\partial X)_{ji}$. Here, y_{ij} is the symbol for that distinct variable which occurs in two places in X .

If y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r are functions of x , $\partial y'/\partial x$ denotes the row vector whose i 'th element is $\partial y_i/\partial x$.

If $y_{11}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{1p}, y_{21}, y_{22}, \dots, y_{2p}, \dots, y_{p1}, \dots, y_{pp}$ are functions of x , $\partial Y/\partial x$ denotes the matrix whose (i, j) element is $\partial y_{ij}/\partial x$.

If each of the quantities y_1, y_2, \dots, y_r is a function of the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p , $\partial y'/\partial x$ denotes a matrix of order (pxq) whose (i, j) element is $\partial y_i/\partial x_j$. Note the interchange of subscripts.

3.5.2. Rules:

- $\partial(x'x)/\partial x = 2x$
- $\partial(x'Qx)/\partial x = Qx + Q'x$
- $\partial(x'Qx)/\partial x = 2Qx$ if Q is symmetric.
- $\partial(a'x)/\partial x = a$
- $\partial(a'Qx)/\partial x = Q'a$
- $\partial \text{tr} (AX)/\partial X = A'$
- $\partial \text{tr} (XA)/\partial X = A'$
- $\partial \log |X|/\partial X = (X')^{-1}$, if X is square and nonsingular.
- "Chain Rule No. 1": $\partial y'/\partial x = \partial x'/\partial x \cdot \partial y'/\partial x$.
- $\partial(x'A)/\partial x = A$
- If $e = b - A'x$, $\partial(e'e)/\partial x = \partial e'/\partial x \cdot \partial(e'e)/\partial e$ (according to rule 9), = $-2A'e$ (by rules 10 and 1).
- "Chain Rule No. 2": If the scalar z is related to a scalar x through variables y_{ij} ($i = 1, 2, \dots, p; j = 1, 2, \dots, q$),

$$\partial z/\partial x = \text{tr} [\partial z/\partial Y \cdot \partial Y'/\partial x]$$

or

$$\partial z/\partial x = \text{tr} [\partial z/\partial Y' \cdot \partial Y/\partial x]$$

This chain rule is correct regardless of any functional relationships which may exist between the elements of Y .

3.6. Some Additional Definitions and Rules

j denotes a column vector, each element of which is 1. Hence $j'A$ is a row vector whose elements are the column sums of A , and Aj denotes a column vector whose elements are the row sums of A . $j'Aj$ denotes the sum of all elements in the matrix A .

I denotes the identity matrix. If the order must be stated it will be added in parentheses. Hence $I(p)$ denotes a $(p \times p)$ identity matrix.

If a tilde (\sim) is placed above a matrix, the matrix is assumed to be triangular. For definiteness, the untransposed matrix

$$\tilde{T} = \begin{bmatrix} t_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ t_{21} & t_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ t_{p1} & t_{p2} & t_{p3} & \dots & t_{pp} \end{bmatrix}$$

is a "lower" triangular matrix, whereas the transposed matrix

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} & \dots & t_{1p} \\ 0 & t_{22} & t_{23} & \dots & t_{2p} \\ 0 & 0 & t_{33} & \dots & t_{3p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & t_{pp} \end{bmatrix}$$

is an "upper" triangular matrix.

If \hat{T} is lower triangular, so is \hat{T}^{-1} .

If Q is a symmetric, positive-definite matrix, we can find, uniquely, a real matrix \hat{T} , such that $Q = \hat{T}\hat{T}'$, provided we let the diagonal elements of \hat{T} be positive. This matrix and its inverse can be readily obtained from the forward Doolittle solution. If, in each cycle, we divide each element of the next-to-last row (the row which is immediately above the one beginning with unity) by the square-root of the "leading" (first) element, we obtain \hat{T}' on the left and \hat{T}^{-1} on the right-hand side.

If Q is a $(p \times p)$, symmetric, positive-semidefinite matrix of rank r , the matrix \hat{T} obtained in the above manner will have zeros to the right of the r 'th column. Q can then be represented as

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} (r) \\ (p-r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1' & \hat{T}_2' \\ \hat{T}_1 & \hat{T}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (r) \\ (p-r) \end{pmatrix}$$

where, of course, only \hat{T}_1 is triangular. This is an important computational device in connection with rule 3.4.a. on characteristic roots. For, if the largest root of AB is desired, where both A and B are symmetric, but A is of low rank, we can obtain the representation

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} (r) \\ (p-r) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1' \\ \hat{T}_2' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1 \\ \hat{T}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

by the forward Doolittle solution. Then, by 3.4.a., $ch(AB) = ch\left(\begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1' & \hat{T}_2' \end{bmatrix} B \begin{bmatrix} \hat{T}_1 \\ \hat{T}_2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$, and the matrix in parentheses is of small order and symmetric.

D_r denotes a diagonal matrix whose non-zero elements are u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r .

4. PRINCIPLE OF MINIMIZING QUADRATIC FORMS AND GAUSS MARKOV THEOREM

4.1. Some Remarks on Multivariate Distributions

In univariate situation, suppose we have a random variable x , such that

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) &= \mu \\ \text{var}(x) &= \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we want to find a random variable y , such that

$$E(y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(y) = 1,$$

i.e., we are to find y such that it has mean 0 and variance 1, we perform the "standardization"

$$y = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma}.$$

We also recall that, if y is normally distributed,

$$y^2 = \frac{(x - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} = \chi^2 \text{ with 1 d.f.}$$

In multivariate situations, we have random variables x such that

$$E(x) = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(x) = \Sigma$$

and we wish to find y such that

$$E(y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(y) = I.$$

To obtain this, we will proceed as follows: Let

$$\Sigma = \hat{F}\hat{F}'$$

where \hat{F} is a lower triangular matrix, which, given Σ , can be obtained conveniently as a by-product of the forward Doolittle analysis. Then,

$$\Sigma^{-1} = (\hat{F}')^{-1}\hat{F}^{-1}.$$

Now, let

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \hat{F}^{-1}(x - \mu) \\ E(y) &= \hat{F}^{-1}E(x - \mu) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(y) &= \hat{F}^{-1} \text{var}(x - \mu)(\hat{F}')^{-1} \\ &= \hat{F}^{-1} \text{var}(x)(\hat{F}')^{-1} \\ &= \hat{F}^{-1}\Sigma(\hat{F}')^{-1} \\ &= \hat{F}^{-1}\hat{F}\hat{F}'(\hat{F}')^{-1} = I. \end{aligned}$$

Hence y is of the desired standard form. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y'y &= (x' - \mu')(\hat{F}^{-1})'\hat{F}^{-1}(x - \mu) \\ &= (x' - \mu')\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu). \end{aligned}$$

This is called the "Standard Quadratic Form".

Since it is equal to the sum-of-squares of p standard variables, it will be distributed as χ^2 with p degrees of freedom, if x has the multivariate normal distribution.

4.2. The Principle of Least Squares

Recall that the General Linear Model is

$$y = A\xi + e.$$

On the assumption, for the time being that A is of full rank, the "least squares" approach tells us to estimate ξ in such a way that the sum of squares of errors is minimized. Then, $e'e$ is the desired sum of squares and

$$\begin{aligned} e &= y - A\xi \\ e' &= y' - \xi'A' \\ e'e &= (y' - \xi'A')(y - A\xi) \\ \frac{\partial(e'e)}{\partial\xi} &= -2A'(y - A\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Setting this equal to zero, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A'(y - A\xi) &= 0 \\ (A'A)\xi &= A'y. \end{aligned}$$

These are called the *Normal Equations* for the estimation of ξ .

4.3. Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimates

The minimum variance, unbiased, linear estimate of ξ is obtained by the application of a very general form of the *Gauss Markov Theorem*:

Let

$$\begin{aligned} y &= A\xi + e \\ E(e) &= 0 \\ \text{var}(y) &= \text{var}(e) = \sigma^2V \end{aligned}$$

where V is a matrix (square, symmetric, non-singular) of order $(n \times n)$ with known elements. That is to say that variances of y_i (regardless of i) and covariances between y_i and y_j are known except for an arbitrary scalar multiplier applied to all of them. Then the

best linear estimate of an arbitrary linear function $l'\xi$ is equal to $l'\hat{\xi}$ where $\hat{\xi}$ minimizes the quadratic form

$$e'V^{-1}e$$

Since

$$E(e) = 0$$

and

$$\text{var}(e) = \text{var}(y) = \sigma^2V$$

the standard quadratic form of e would be

$$(e' - [E(e)'])[\text{var}(e)]^{-1}(e - [E(e)]) = (e' - 0')[\sigma^2V]^{-1}(e - 0) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} e'V^{-1}e$$

Minimizing this expression is equivalent to minimizing

$$e'V^{-1}e$$

Hence, the statement, "The best linear estimate of an arbitrary function $l'\xi$ is equal to $l'\hat{\xi}$ where $\hat{\xi}$ is obtained by minimizing the quadratic form $e'V^{-1}e$ ", as made in the Gauss-Markov Theorem is, in fact, equivalent to the statement . . . where $\hat{\xi}$ is obtained by minimizing the standard quadratic form due to error. The Normal Equations in this general case are $A'V^{-1}A\hat{\xi} = A'V^{-1}y$.

5. GENERAL LINEAR HYPOTHESIS OF FULL RANK

In this section, we shall discuss, with illustrations, the problem of testing hypotheses about certain parameters and also derive some necessary distribution in connection with testing hypotheses.

5.1. Notation

In general, a null hypothesis will be stated as

$$C\xi = k$$

where $C = C(n_h \times m)$, ($n_h \leq m$), is called the hypothesis matrix and is of rank n_h . ξ is an $(m \times 1)$ column vector of parameters as defined in the general linear model. k is a vector of n_h known elements, usually equal to 0.

n_h is called *degrees of freedom due to hypothesis*. Actually it is the number of rows in the hypothesis matrix C . In other words, it is the number of nonredundant statements embodied in the null hypothesis.

n_e is called the *degrees of freedom due to error* and is equal to the number of observations minus the effective number of parameters.

It is important to keep in mind that in stating a composite hypothesis, we should never make:

(1) contradicting statements such as,

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 = 2\beta_2 \quad \text{simultaneously}$$

(2) redundant statements such as,

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 \quad \text{and} \quad 3\tau_1 = 3\tau_2$$

5.2. Simple Linear Regression

Model

$$y_i = \mu + \beta x_i + e_i$$

$$\text{parameter vector } \xi = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$$

EXAMPLE 1

$$H_0: \mu = 0$$

$$\text{Alt.: } \mu \neq 0$$

General linear hypothesis

$$[1, 0] \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

EXAMPLE 2

$$C \xi = 0, \quad n_h = 1$$

$$H_0: \beta = 0$$

$$\text{Alt.: } \beta \neq 0$$

$$[0, 1] \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

EXAMPLE 3

$$C \xi = 0, \quad n_h = 1$$

$H_0: \mu = 0, \beta = 0$ simultaneously
 Alt.: At least one of the μ and $\beta \neq 0$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

EXAMPLE 4

$$C \xi = 0, \quad n_h = 2$$

$$H_0: \mu = \beta$$

$$\text{Alt.: } \mu \neq \beta$$

$$[1, -1] \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = 0, \quad n_h = 1$$

5.3. Analysis of Variance, One-way Classification

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + e_{ij} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3 \dots v)$$

$$\text{Parameter vector } \xi' = [\mu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots, \tau_v]$$

EXAMPLE 1

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = \dots = \tau_v$$

$$\text{Alt.: } \tau_i \neq \tau_j \quad \text{for at least one pair}$$

Keep in mind that we must not make redundant statements. Here we have $(v - 1)$ rows in the hypothesis matrix. i.e. $n_h = v - 1$

$$(v-1) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (v-1)$$

$$C \xi = 0$$

EXAMPLE 2

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 = \dots = \tau_v = 0$$

$$\text{Alt.: At least one } \tau \neq 0$$

$$(v) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \vdots \\ \tau_v \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (v)$$

C

n_h = number of rows in C and is equal to v .

EXAMPLE 3. For simplicity, let us take $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$H_0: -\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 - \tau_3 = 0 \text{ (Quadratic contrast of three effects)}$$

$$\text{Alt.: Quadratic contrast } \neq 0$$

$$[0 \quad -1 \quad +2 \quad -1 \quad 0] \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \tau_4 \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

C

5.4. Multiple Linear Regression

$$y_i = \mu + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \beta_2 x_{i2} + \beta_3 x_{i3} + \dots + \beta_b x_{ib} + e_i$$

$$\text{parameter vector } \xi' = [\mu, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_b]$$

EXAMPLE 1

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Alt.: } \beta_1 \neq 0$$

$$[0, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0] \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_b \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

C

EXAMPLE 2

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \dots = \beta_k = 0$$

$$\text{Alt.: At least one } \beta \neq 0$$

$$(k) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

C

EXAMPLE 3

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = 0 \text{ simultaneously}$$

$$\text{Alt.: At least one of } \beta_1 \text{ and } \beta_2 \neq 0$$

$$C \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad 0]$$

C

5.5. Randomized Blocks

$$y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + \beta_j + e_{ij}$$

where μ is the general effect
 τ_i are the treatment effects ($i = 1, 2, 3$)
 β_j are the block effects ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$)
 e_{ij} is the experimental error

$$\text{parameter vector } \xi' = [\mu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4]$$

EXAMPLE 1

$$H_0: \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3 \text{ (all treatments effects are equal)}$$

$$\text{Alt.: At least one pair } \tau_r \neq \tau_s$$

$$C \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$[0 \quad 1 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\ 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

C

EXAMPLE 2

$$H_0: -\tau_1 + 2\tau_2 - \tau_3 = 0 \text{ (quadratic contrast)}$$

$$\text{Alt.: Quadratic contrast } \neq 0$$

$$C \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \tau_1 \\ \tau_2 \\ \tau_3 \\ \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \beta_3 \\ \beta_4 \\ \xi \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$[0 \quad -1 \quad 2 \quad -1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0]$$

C

From the above illustrations, it can be seen that we can write a great variety of tests in the form of the General Linear Hypothesis provided that we make no redundant hypothesis statements.

5.6. Quadratic Form due to Hypothesis

So far we have discussed only the model of full rank. i.e., in the normal equations,

$$A'A\xi = A'y,$$

$(A'A)$ has an inverse. We shall continue to assume this model throughout this chapter. Recall the General Linear Model

$$E(y) = A\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(y) = \sigma^2 I.$$

We then have the normal equations,

$$A'A\xi = A'y.$$

The estimate of ξ is

$$\hat{\xi} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y,$$

and the variance of the estimate is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\hat{\xi}) &= (A'A)^{-1} \text{var}(A'y)(A'A)^{-1} \\ &= (A'A)^{-1}A' \text{var}(y)A(A'A)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(A'A)^{-1}A'A(A'A)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(A'A)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the expression for the variance-covariance matrix of the estimates of ξ . Now suppose that we have a null hypothesis

$$H_0: C\xi = 0.$$

We have an unbiased estimate of $C\xi$ namely $C\hat{\xi}$, i.e., under the null hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} E(C\hat{\xi}) &= C\xi = 0 \\ \text{var}(C\hat{\xi}) &= C \text{var}(\hat{\xi})C' \\ &= \sigma^2 C(A'A)^{-1}C', \\ [\text{var}(C\hat{\xi})]^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\sigma^2} [C(A'A)^{-1}C']^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, under the null hypothesis, the standard quadratic form is

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \hat{\xi}' C' [C(A'A)^{-1}C']^{-1} C \hat{\xi}.$$

The expression, $\hat{\xi}' C' [C(A'A)^{-1}C']^{-1} C \hat{\xi}$, is called the *sum of squares due to hypothesis*, usually denoted by SSH. If y has the multivariate normal distribution, SSH/σ^2 is distributed as χ^2 with n_h degrees of freedom, since it is a standard quadratic form.

5.7. Sum of Squares due to Error

Recall the general linear model

$$y = A\xi + e.$$

Let us define $\hat{e} = y - A\hat{\xi}$, the error of estimation. Then, $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{e}_i^2 = \hat{e}'\hat{e}$ is called the *sum of squares of errors of estimation*. It is customarily denoted by SSE

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SSE} = \hat{e}'\hat{e} &= (y' - \hat{\xi}'A')(y - A\hat{\xi}) \\ &= y'y - \hat{\xi}'A'y - y'A\hat{\xi} + \hat{\xi}'A'A\hat{\xi} \\ &= y'y - \hat{\xi}'A'y - y'A\hat{\xi} + \hat{\xi}'A'y \\ &= y'y - y'A\hat{\xi}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y'y$ is the sum of squares over all observations.

$A'y$ is the column vector on the right hand side of the normal equations.
 $\hat{\xi}$ is a column vector whose elements are the estimates of ξ .

In words, SSE is obtained by subtracting from the sum of squares of all observations, the scalar product of the vector of estimates of ξ and the vector on the right-hand side of the normal equations.

It should be noted that SSE can depend only on the model, and is determined once the model is stated; it is entirely independent of any hypothesis which may be stated or tested.

If y is normally distributed, SSE/σ^2 has the χ^2 distribution with n_e degrees of freedom. It is independent of any SSH.

5.8. Summary

We have the general linear model

$$\begin{aligned} y &= A\xi + e \\ E(y) &= A\xi. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that the model is of full rank, that is, $A'A$ is non-singular and thus has an inverse. If we further assume that

$$\text{var}(y) = \sigma^2 I,$$

that is, homoscedasticity plus independence, we will have the normal equations

$$(A'A)\xi = A'y$$

and we can obtain the estimate of ξ by

$$\hat{\xi} = (A'A)^{-1}A'y.$$

Again, if we further assume that the elements of y are normally distributed, we may test the following hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0: C\xi &= 0 \\ \text{Alt.: } C\xi &= a \quad (a \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

This hypothesis matrix has n_h rows and, if we avoid inconsistency and redundancies in the statement of the hypothesis, n_h will be the "degrees of freedom due to hypothesis".

5.9. Computational Procedure for Testing a Hypothesis

In testing a hypothesis, proceed as follows:

- (1) Obtain SSH, the so called "sum of squares due to hypothesis" from the formula

$$\text{SSH} = \hat{\xi}' C' [C(A'A)^{-1}C']^{-1} C \hat{\xi}.$$

- (2) Obtain SSE, the "sum of squares due to error" from

$$\text{SSE} = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - y'A\hat{\xi}.$$

- (3) Introduce n_e , the "degrees of freedom due to error" which equals n (sample size) minus effective number of parameters in the model.

- (4) Then, if H_0 is true

$$\frac{\text{SSH}/n_h}{\text{SSE}/n_e} = F_{(n_h, n_e)}.$$

5.10. Regression Significance Test

Suppose that we have the general linear model

$$E(y) = A\xi \quad \text{and} \quad \text{var}(y) = \sigma^2 I$$

Under this model, we have the normal equations

$$\begin{aligned} A'A\xi &= A'y \\ \text{SSE} &= y'y - y'A\xi \\ \text{where } \xi &= (A'A)^{-1}A'y \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that our hypothesis is of such a nature that we can easily write the reduced model under the assumption that H_0 is true.

$$y = A\xi + e^* \quad \text{subject to the condition } C\xi = 0$$

Analogously, after estimating ξ in the above model (reduced model) we may write

$$\text{SSE (reduced)} = y'y - y'A\xi$$

where ξ is the estimate of ξ in the reduced model. We can then find the sum of squares due to that hypothesis by obtaining

SSE (reduced) and subtracting SSE (the original or general model), i.e.,

$$\text{SSH} = \text{SSE (reduced)} - \text{SSE}$$

5.11. Alternate Form of the Distribution

$\frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SSE} + \text{SSH}}$ has the Beta distribution with parameters $(n_1/2, n_2/2)$.

The beta tests are lower-tail tests, i.e., we reject H_0 if the value of the observed ratio is smaller than the tabulated one, i.e.,

rejection region $\beta < \text{constant}$.

Actually in the tables, percentage points of β are stated as

$$\beta(a, b)$$

where $a = 2$ (second parameter)

$b = 2$ (first parameter).

Hence, read those tables simply as $\beta(n_1, n_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SSE (reduced)}} &= \beta\left(\frac{n_1}{2}, \frac{n_2}{2}\right) \quad (\text{usual notation}) \\ &= \beta^*(n_1, n_2) \quad (\text{Tables for Beta percentage points}) \\ &= I\left(\frac{n_1}{2}, \frac{n_2}{2}\right) \quad (\text{Tables of the Incomplete Beta Function}) \end{aligned}$$

6. GENERAL LINEAR MODEL OF LESS THAN FULL RANK

So far we have restricted our discussion to models of full rank in the General Linear Model. In practice, many design models are not initially of this form. Models not of full rank are sometimes called singular models.

If, in the General Linear Model

$$y = A\xi + e$$

with normal equations

$$A'A\xi = A'y$$

the rank of the design matrix A is less than m ($r < m$) then $(A'A)$ would be singular and has no inverse. We must examine the system to see whether a solution exists. We wish to find functions of the ξ_i 's for which unbiased estimates exist.

6.1. Estimable Function and Estimability

Let us estimate a function $V'\xi$, i.e., find $c'y = V'\xi$ such that the expectation

$$E(c'y) = V'\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi$$

and $\text{var}(c'y) = \text{minimum}$, i.e., we would like to find a linear function of the y_i 's such that

$$E(c'y) = V'\xi$$

where V is a given vector of "weights". The constraints of unbiasedness are

$$\begin{aligned} E(c'y) &= V'\xi \\ c'E(y) &= V'\xi \\ c'A\xi &= V'\xi \quad \text{for all } \xi, \text{ hence,} \\ c'A &= V' \\ c'A - V' &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we are minimizing

$\text{var}(c'y)$ subject to the constraints $c'A = V'$

where $\text{var}(c'y) = c'c'e$.

The criterion function Φ is then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \frac{1}{2}c'e - [c'A - V']\lambda \\ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial c} &= c - A\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Setting the derivative equal to zero, we obtain

$$(6.1.1) \quad A\lambda = c$$

Premultiplying by A' , we have

$$A'A\lambda = A'e$$

which is equal to 1 under our constraints.

Hence,

$$(6.1.2) \quad A'A\lambda = 1$$

(6.1.1) and (6.1.2) are called "conjugate normal equations". If A has rank r ($< m$) we can always select r columns which form a "basis" and take the remaining $(m - r)$ columns as an extension. The latter columns are linear combinations of the former.

In the model

$$y = A\xi + e$$

let us order the elements in ξ as well as the columns in A in such a way that

$$\xi' = [\xi_1' \quad \xi_2'] \\ \quad \quad (r) \quad (m - r)$$

and

$$A = [A_1 \quad A_2](r) \\ \quad \quad (r) \quad (m - r)$$

and that A_1 is a basis of A . The columns of A_2 must then be linear combinations of those in A_1 . We may express this fact formally by saying there exists

$$Q(r \times m - r) \quad \text{such that} \quad A_2 = A_1 Q.$$

Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &= A_1 Q \\ A_1' A_2 &= A_1' A_1 Q \\ Q &= (A_1' A_1)^{-1} A_1' A_2. \end{aligned}$$

This is one of the ways to determine Q when A_1 and A_2 are given. Usually, however, we would try to find Q by inspection.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [A_1 \quad A_2]_{(r) \quad (m-r)} \\ &= [A_1, A_1 Q]_{(r) \quad (m-r)} = A_1 [I \quad Q]_{(r) \quad (m-r)} \end{aligned}$$

(6.1.2) can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1' \\ Q' A_1' \end{bmatrix} [A_1, A_1 Q] \lambda = \begin{bmatrix} A_1' A_1 & A_1' A_1 Q \\ Q' A_1' A_1 & Q' A_1' A_1 Q \end{bmatrix} \lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1_1 \\ 1_2 \end{bmatrix}_{(r) \quad (m-r)}$$

Expanding we have

$$(6.1.3) \quad [A_1' A_1, A_1' A_1 Q] \lambda = 1_1$$

$$(6.1.4) \quad [Q' A_1' A_1, Q' A_1' A_1 Q] \lambda = 1_2.$$

Premultiply (6.1.3) by Q' and obtain

$$[Q' A_1' A_1, Q' A_1' A_1 Q] \lambda = Q' 1_1.$$

For consistency of the equation system, the condition

$$1_2 = Q' 1_1$$

must be met.

That is to say, in the function $I' \xi$, I' cannot be chosen arbitrarily but must be of the form

$$(6.1.5) \quad I' = [I_1' \quad I_2']_{(r) \quad (m-r)}, \quad \text{where} \\ I_2' = I_1' Q.$$

Only an I satisfying this relation can be used in the construction of a function which admits of a linear unbiased (and mathematically consistent) estimate.

(6.1.5) is called the condition of "estimability" of a linear function. Hence, we will call a function $I' \xi$ *estimable* if I' can be written as

$$[I_1' \quad I_1' Q],$$

where I_2' is related to I_1' in the same way as A_2 to A_1 .

We may then define that a parametric function is said to be linearly *estimable* if there exists a linear combination of the observations whose expected value is equal to the function, i.e., if there exists an unbiased estimate.

Now, if the function $I' \xi$ is estimable, (6.1.3) can be written as

$$(A_1' A_1) [I, Q] \lambda = 1_1.$$

Notice that 1_2 may be disregarded since it is determined by the relation $1_2 = Q' 1_1$, hence,

$$(6.1.6) \quad [I, Q] \lambda = (A_1' A_1)^{-1} 1_1.$$

The first conjugate normal equations (6.1.1) stated

$$\begin{aligned} \text{or,} \quad A \lambda &= \hat{e} \\ [A_1, A_2] \lambda &= \hat{e} \\ A_1 [I, Q] \lambda &= \hat{e}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (6.1.6), we obtain

$$A_1 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} 1_1 = \hat{e}.$$

Hence,

$$\hat{e}' y = I' \xi = I_1' (A_1' A_1)^{-1} A_1' y,$$

which is of the same form as in the non-singular case, except that A has been replaced by its basis A_1 and in I we consider only the first r elements, i.e., 1_1 .

Hence, the normal equations in the Least Squares approach, i.e.,

$$A' A \xi = A' y$$

can be used formally in the reduced statement

$$(A_1' A_1) \xi_1 = A_1' y.$$

6.2. General Linear Hypothesis Model of Less Than Full Rank

We have the general linear model

$$\begin{aligned} y &= A \xi + e \\ &= [A_1, A_2] \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} + e \\ &= [A_1, A_1 Q] \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} + e \\ &= A_1 \xi_1 + A_1 Q \xi_2 + e \\ &= A_1 (\xi_1 + Q \xi_2) + e. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we may write the general linear model in the form $y = A_1 \xi^* + e$, where $\xi^* = \xi_1 + Q \xi_2$.

6.2.1. Sum of Squares Due to Error and Its Distribution

Notice that e has not been changed in this model, hence we can set up the normal equations

$$\begin{aligned} (A_1' A_1) \xi^* &= A_1' y. \\ \text{SSE} = e' e &= y' y - y' A_1 \xi^* \\ &= y' y - y' A_1 (A_1' A_1)^{-1} A_1' y \end{aligned}$$

Then, as before

$$\frac{\text{SSE}}{\sigma^2} = \chi^2(n - r) \quad \text{where } r \text{ is the rank of } A,$$

and replaces m in the non-singular model. The "effective" number of parameters in the singular model is only r , the remaining $(m - r)$ parameters are determined in terms of the first r by the estimability condition.

6.2.2. Sum of Squares Due to Hypothesis and Its Distribution

Suppose that we wish to test

$$H_0: C\xi = 0,$$

$$\text{where } C = \begin{bmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ (r) & (m-r) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $C\xi = 0$ implies that

$$[C_1, C_2] \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each row on the left-hand side must represent an estimable function, hence, we must have

$$C_2 = C_1Q.$$

This is called the condition of "testability", i.e., if

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c'_2 \\ c'_1 \\ \vdots \\ c'_{n_s} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $(c'_i\xi)$ is an estimable function ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n_s$). Then the null hypothesis

$$H_0: C_1\xi_1 + C_2\xi_2 = 0$$

can be written as

$$C_1\xi_1 + C_1Q\xi_2 = 0$$

or simply $C_1\xi^* = 0$, where $\xi^* = (\xi_1 + Q\xi_2)$. Hence, we can formally state that a null hypothesis

$$H_0: C\xi = 0$$

is "testable" if $C\xi$ consists of n_s estimable functions, i.e., if $C_2 = C_1Q$, where $C = [C_1, C_2]$. Consequently,

$$SSH = \xi^{*'} C_1' [C_1(A_1'A_1)^{-1}C_1']^{-1} C_1 \xi^*,$$

where $\xi^* = (A_1'A_1)^{-1}A_1'y$.

As before

$$\frac{SSH}{\sigma^2} = \chi^2_{(n_s)}.$$

Again, if the null-hypothesis is true, we have the test statistic F

$$\frac{MSH/n_s}{MSE/n_e} = F_{(n_s, n_e)}.$$

6.3. Constraints and Conditions

If the model is singular, of rank $r < m$, $(m - r)$ constraints on the ξ_i 's (the estimates) may be arbitrarily introduced, for example:

$$(6.3.1) \quad \xi_{r+1} = 0, \dots, \xi_m = 0$$

or

$$(6.3.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i = 0, \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \xi_i = 0.$$

This is called *reparametrizing* the model. The constraining functions are fairly arbitrary, but they *must not be estimable* functions, otherwise the resulting model will still be singular.

In effect, this is done by deletion of the last $(m - r)$ rows and columns of $A'A$ and the last $(m - r)$ elements of $A'y$, for constraints of the type (6.3.1), or by adding a constant to all elements of $A'A$, for constraints of the type (6.3.2). This has no effect on the value of estimable functions, or test statistics.

An entirely different situation prevails if we place conditions on the *parameters* of a model, especially on interactions. In the two-way classification model

$$E(y_{ijk}) = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \delta_{ij}$$

one usually specifies

$$\sum_i n_{ij} \delta_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j\text{'s}$$

and

$$\sum_j n_{ij} \delta_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i\text{'s},$$

where n_{ij} denotes the number of observations in the (i, j) cell. These are sometimes called *natural constraints* (they are neither *natural* nor *constraints*). They simply represent a set of *conditions* or *assumptions* on the interactions, minimizing this effect (making SSH for interaction a minimum). After introducing these conditions, one still has a singular model, which can be made nonsingular by introduction of the arbitrary constraints

$$\sum_i \hat{\alpha}_i = 0, \sum_j \hat{\beta}_j = 0.$$

(Note the carets, for estimates). One could introduce the different assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{All } \alpha_i\text{'s} &= 0 \\ \text{All } \beta_j\text{'s} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and would have a simple one-way classification model, quite different from the previous one. A classical example is the following. Suppose some organic substance is attacked by sulphuric acid or by sodium hydroxide.

		NaOH	
		-	+
H ₂ SO ₄	-	0	4
	+	6	0

Using, formally, the minimizing interaction conditions, one would obtain effect estimates as means of rows and columns

H ₂ SO ₄	absent: 2	present: 3
NaOH	absent: 3	present: 2

and make the ridiculous inference that sodium hydroxide, by itself, has an inhibiting effect. The correct parametric model in this case would be

μ	$\mu + \beta$
$\mu + \alpha$	$\mu + \alpha + \beta + \delta$

i.e., interaction occurs only if both substances are present. This leads to the estimation

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mu} &= 0 \\ \hat{\alpha} &= 6 \\ \hat{\beta} &= 4 \\ \hat{\delta} &= -10,\end{aligned}$$

which is the appropriate neutralization model.

It is usually quite easy to decide whether a constraint or a condition is involved. The (model-changing) *conditions* are required whenever a hierarchy of effects is present (main effects, interactions, higher-order interactions), while constraints (with no effect on the model) can be introduced within the same kinds of effects (row effect estimates adding to zero, column effect estimates adding to zero). The sum of squares due to a given hypothesis is a good indicator of the situation. If it changes by the introduction of two different sets of combinations, they are *conditions*, and must be determined in accordance with plausibility of the physical model. If it stays the same, they are usually *constraints on the estimates*, and thus arbitrary, without effect on the model.

SIMPLIFIED COMPUTATIONS FOR MULTIPLE REGRESSION

by Dr. Clyde Y. Kramer

The following method is especially suited for a research problem which has several dependent variables with one set of independent variables. It allows the worker to decide which dependent variables are explained by regression with the least time and work. Regression coefficients and their variances are not usually wanted unless the regression is significant. This procedure eliminates the need of calculating these quantities when prediction is not good enough to be useful.

The main advantages of this method are:

- the numbers of digits to the left of the decimal points of the elements of the sums of squares and sums of products matrix are adjusted to be one or zero which permits the use of a uniform number of decimal places in the calculations;
- the multiple correlation coefficient and entries for the analysis of variance table for multiple regression can be found without computing the regression coefficients and the inverse of the sums of squares and sums of products matrix;
- the additional reduction due to any regression variable over that obtained for previous ones is obtainable for every regression variable;
- the research worker can fit only those regression variables that add a significant additional reduction if he so desires;
- time or work is not lost if one wishes to obtain the regression coefficients and their variances; and
- numerous checks are employed on the calculations that are required.

Algebraic Procedure

For simplicity, this method will be illustrated by considering four independent variables (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), and one dependent variable, (y). First compute and record the sums of squares and sums of products in the following manner:

$$(1) \begin{array}{cccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & a_{1y} & \\ & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & a_{2y} & \\ & & a_{33} & a_{34} & a_{3y} & \\ & & & a_{44} & a_{4y} & \\ & & & & a_{yy} & \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}\right)^2}{n}, \\ a_{ij} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}x_{j\alpha} - \frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{j\alpha}\right)}{n}, \\ a_{iy} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}y_{\alpha} - \frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n x_{i\alpha}\right)\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n y_{\alpha}\right)}{n}, \\ a_{yy} &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^n y_{\alpha}^2 - \frac{\left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^n y_{\alpha}\right)^2}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

$i = j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, and n is the number of observations.

The first feature of this method is that the sum of squares for the dependent variable, a_{yy} , is recorded as the last entry of the column containing the sums of products of the dependent variable with the independent variables. The addition of a_{yy} to the last column results in a square matrix. This feature will be utilized to adjust the number of digits preceding the decimal points in the elements of the above matrix. The residual sum of squares is also obtained directly by adding the term a_{yy} to the last column of (1).

Then, in order to simplify the calculations, make the diagonal terms ($a_{11}, a_{22}, a_{33}, a_{44}, a_{yy}$) lie between 0.1 and 10 by pre- and post-multiplying (1) by a diagonal matrix of powers of ten which is as follows:

$$(2) \begin{bmatrix} 10^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 10^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 10^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 10^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

This will also result in the sums of products having at most one digit before the decimal points, thus allowing a uniform number of decimal places in all future calculations. The values of the q_i 's and p are determined as follows:

Consider only a diagonal term and in it the largest *even* number of places through which the decimal point must be shifted (left or right) to make that term be a number between 0.1 and 10. Then divide this even number by two to get the applicable value of q_1 or p . For example, if $a_{11} = 8,238.93$, q_1 would be -2 ; if $a_{33} = 2,213,922.00$, q_3 would be -3 ; and if $a_{yy} = 5,098,35$, p would be -2 .

After pre- and post-multiplying (1) by (2), which in effect is accomplished by adding the q_i 's and p according to the term we are adjusting and shifting the decimal point the number of places indicated by the sum, we obtain a matrix of a^* 's. If, as in the above paragraph, $q_1 = -2$ and $p = -2$, we would shift the decimal point of a_{11} four places to the left and the decimal point of a_{1y} four places to the left, etc.