Index

Page numbers in italics refer to full bibliographic references.

```
Adjustment vs. matching, 102-103
                                                        removal of, 28
  in experiments, 119
                                                        sources of, 8
  in observational studies, 121
                                                      Bias effect:
Adjustment by regression, 75
                                                        adjustments for, 112
Agriculture, gains in, 3
                                                        on confidence level, 26, 27
Analysis of covariance, 10, 75. See also
                                                        on significance level, 58
       Covariance
                                                        test of significance for, 28
Analysis of variance, 75
                                                      Bias reduction:
Analytical surveys, 2, 3, 5
                                                        caliper matching, 88
Announcement, pre-treatment, effect of, 133
                                                        matching, 85
                                                        mean matching, 90
Bartlett, M. S. 123, 128
                                                         nearest available matching, 89
Beets, sugar, 69
                                                      Billewicz, W. Z., 78, 82, 96, 100, 111, 117,
Belson, W. A., 112, 113, 128
                                                              128
                                                      Blindness, 8
  adjustment for, 103
                                                        of judges, 45
  appraising, 12
                                                      Blocking, 9, 75
  from confounding, 74
                                                      Bombing raids, effect on German factories, 44
  consistency of, 43
                                                      Boruch, R.F., 121, 129
  control of, 8
                                                      Box, G. E. P., 136, 138
  in controls, 42
                                                      Brain-damaged boys, 77-78
  of differences, 17, 90
                                                      Buck, A. A., 33, 49
     regression models for, 90
  detection of, 37
                                                      Caliper matching, 79, 88
  estimating, 12, 13
                                                      Call-back, 67
  everpresent, 26
                                                      Campbell, D.T., 121, 128, 130, 132, 135-138,
  examples of, 24
                                                              138, 139
  limits for, 26
                                                      Campbell, E.Q., 14
  model for, 17
                                                      Cause, 1, 3
  from nonresponse, 66
                                                      Chi-squared tests, 108
  in observatational studies, 12
                                                      Classes:
  in population, 22, 23
                                                        optimum, 38
```

optimum for matching, 86	analysis of, 6
optimum sizes, 39	bias in, 17
Classified x's, 110	estimate of, 17
Clusters, samples from, 61, 62	between population and control and treated
Coca-chewing, effects of, 33, 34	group, 43
Cochran, W. G., 22, 31, 39, 49, 85-86, 96, 100,	variability of, 15
105, 113, 118, 125, <i>129</i> , <i>138</i>	Diphtheria, immunization for, 44
Cohen, J., 111, 129	Distributions, of effects and grouping, 39
Coleman, J. S., 2, 14	Douglas, J. W. B., 79, 100
Collier, R. M., 132, 138	Dummy variables, 110-111
Comparability of regressions, test of, 125	•
Comparison group, 42	Effects:
Comparison of treatment effects, 57	direction of, 33, 34
Components of X^2 , 108	sizes of, 34
Confidence intervals, 20, 21	Efficiency of grouping, 39
Confounding, 8, 74	Emmett, B. P., 133, 139
binomial variable, 76	Erlebacher, A. E., 121, 129
handling of, 77	Errors in X , 118
measured variable, 74	Errors, reducing, 9
Connecticut, speeding crackdown in, 136-138	Estimate, 4
Contraceptive devices, use of, 40	Estimate of difference, 17
Control, 7	Estimation vs. testing significance, 34
by analysis, 10	Experiments:
for classified variables, 10	agricultural, 3, 5
for continuous variables, 10	blind, 8
use of published statistics as, 43	controlled, 3
Control group, 42	factorial, 6
Cook, S.W., 24, 31	natural, 2
Cook, T.D., 139	pseudo, 2
Covariance:	quasi, 2
adjustment for measured y's and x's, 109	strategy of, 3
analysis of, 10, 75	
Covariates in exploratory studies, 36	Factors, alternative causal, 137
Cox, D. R., 39, 49, 86, 96, 100	Feller, W., 82, 100
Crackdown on speeding in Connecticut, 136-138	Fischer, A. K., 14
Critics, use of, 71	Fisher, R. A., 97, 100
Curvature, effect on linear regression	Framingham Study, 3
adjustments, 113	F-test for power, 35
Curvilinearity of response, 47	,
• • •	Glass, G. V., 136, 139
Dawber, T. R., 3, 14	Goals of study, 33
Decisions, keeping records of, 35	Graphs for series, 137
Deff, see Design effect	Group:
Design:	comparison, 42
experimental, 4	control, 42
of questionnaire, 66	single, after only, 130–131
of study, 35	Grouping:
Design effect:	modes of, 40
on sample size, 65	vs. regression, 40
on variance, 65	
Differences, 4	Haenszel, W., 106, 129

```
INDEX
Hald, A., 97, 100
                                                        nearest available, 80, 83, 89, 115
Health and housing, 24
                                                        notation for, 80-81
Hill, A. B., 25, 31, 44-45, 49
                                                        in observational studies, 121
Hiroshima, dosage estimation, 131
                                                        pair continuous, 95
Hobson, C. J., 14
                                                        pair discrete, 95
Hopkins, J. A., 68, 73
                                                        regression effect vs. nearest available, 115
Housing:
                                                        reservoirs needed, 81
  effects of public, 24 and health, 24
                                                        on several variables, 79-80
Hypothesis:
                                                        time required, 81
  null, 19
                                                        use of computers in, 82-83
  of study, 33
                                                        within-class, 84
                                                     Measurement:
Immunization, diphtheria, 44
                                                        effect of pretrial, 133
Inference, statistical, 16
                                                        quality of, 42, 45
Intervals, confidence, 20, 21
                                                        after treatment only, 130
                                                     Measurement series, before and after treatment.
Judges, blindness of, 45
                                                             131-132
                                                     Meier, P., 104, 129
Keyfitz, N., 107, 129
                                                     Michael, S. T., 14
Kinsey, A. E., 2, 9, 14
                                                     Model, mathematical, 15, 16, 17
Kish, L., 8, 14, 29, 31, 65, 73
                                                     Model without bias, 16
Knowelden, J., 44-45, 49
                                                     Models, 8, 90-93
                                                     Mood, A. M., 14
Langner, T. S., 14
                                                     Moser, C. A., 70, 73
Leighton, A. H., 14
                                                     Multiple regression, 11
Leprosy examination, 24
Level, significance, 19
                                                     Nonresponse:
Limits, confidence, 20, 21
                                                       effect on bias, 65
Lindley, D. V., 118, 129
                                                       reducing, 66
Logit scale, 105-106
                                                     Natural experiment, 2
Lord, F., 118, 123, 129
                                                     Null hypothesis, 19
                                                     Nonlinearity, test of, 37
McNemar, Q., 96, 100
                                                     Objectives of study, 33
McPartland, J., 14
                                                     Observational studies:
Mantel, N., 106, 129
                                                       bias in, 12
                                                       definition of, 2
Mantel-Haenszel test, 106
Martin, C. E., 14
                                                       examples of, 1, 2, 32
Matching, 9-10, 78
                                                       need for three levels, 37
  analysis of mean, 97
                                                       properties of, 2
 and bias removal, 115
                                                       single-group, 130
  caliper, 79, 87
                                                     Ogawa, J., 86, 100
  degrees of, 84
                                                     Opler, M.K., 14
  delays in, 82
  distortion from, 81
                                                    Pair matching, 95
  effect on variance of difference, 93-94
                                                    Parten, M. B., 70, 73
  in experiments, 119
                                                    Photographs, aerial, 44
  frequency, 76-77
                                                    Polio, 44, 45
  imperfect, 80
                                                    Pomeroy, W. B., 14
```

Population:

broader, 5-7

kinds of variables, 78-79

mean, 80, 83, 97

differences between sampled and target,	Samples:
68	independent before and after treatment,
sampled, 4, 6, 16	133-134
self-selected, 2	nonrandom, 5
target, 4, 6, 16	Sample size, 36, 37, 50
Power, 35	allocation of, 37
of before-after studies, 55	binomial, 56
example of, 51	calculations, 56
increasing samples, 54	differing costs of optimum, 57
for matched samples, 54	effect of, 36
of statistical tests, 36, 51	for estimation, 55-56
for two binomial samples, 53	of exploratory study, 50
Power function, 51	large, 8
Precision, increased by regression adjustment,	optimum, 37, 57
115-116	Sampling:
Premature children, 79, 80	cluster, 62
Pretests, 70	nonrandom, 16
Probability:	•
of significant difference, 51	random, 16
of wrong conclusion, 52	Sartwell, P., 37, 49
P-value, 18	Seltser, R., 37, 49
r-value, 10	Series, time, 136
Quasi avnaziments 2	Significance:
Quasi experiments, 2	estimation vs. testing, 34
Dediction of coefficients of 39	level, 19
Radiation, aftereffects of, 38	test of, 18
Randomization, 7, 17	Size, sample, see Sample size
in blocking, 10	Smokers, age and death rates, 22
Record keeping of treatment levels, 41	Smoking, 22, 23
Records:	levels of, 40
of decisions, 35	Speeding crackdown in Connecticut, 136-138
pilot study of, 71	Srole, L., 14
Regression:	Standardization to handle cell-to-cell effects,
component in contingency tables, 108	106–107
curvilinear, 11	Standardized mortality rates for French females,
vs. grouping, 40	107
linear, 38	Stanley, J. C., 77, 101, 130, 132, 135, 138
multiple, 11	Stuart, A., 96, 101
Regression adjustment vs. nearest available	Student's t, 18
matching, 115	Studies:
Regression model, 8	before-after, 55, 131
for bias in differences, 90–93	danger of large size, 46
Rennie, T. A. C., 14	pilot, 70
Replication, 4, 6–7	power of before-after, 55
Response, 44	restriction of, 46
definition, 33	Sums, weighted, 60
estimation of linear, 47	Surveys, analytical, 2, 3, 5
variability of, 7	
Response measurements, relevance of, 44	t-distribution, 18
Ross, H. L., 136, 137, 138, 138	Test:
Rubin, D. B., 79, 83, 88, 100-101, 113-115,	effect of bias on, 28
129	one-tailed, 19, 20, 52

```
Test (Continued)
                                                      discrete, continuous, confounding, 78
  power, 52
                                                      random, 17
  of significance, 18
                                                    Variance of difference, effect of matching, 93
     vs. estimation, 34
                                                    Variances:
  strengthening of, 35
                                                      pooled, 18
  two-tailed, 19, 20, 52
                                                      reduced by weighting, 105
Tiao, G. C., 136, 138
                                                      unequal, 110
Time series, 136
                                                    Variation, control of, 8
Timing of measurements, 46, 47
                                                    Variation in treatment, measurement of, 36
Transformations to linearity, 109
Treatment, 35, 36
                                                    Walkley, R. P., 24, 31
  definition, 33
                                                    Weighted sums, 60
  degrees of, 130-131
                                                    Weights:
  form of, 41
                                                      when cell effects differ, 103-104
  level of, 40
                                                      choice of, 77
  measurement of, 38
                                                      to improve precision, 105
  variability, 41
                                                      matched sample, 103
                                                      for pre-treatment measurement groups,
Treatments, pooling of, 41
TV educational program, 12, 23, 24
                                                           134
                                                      random sample, 103
Variability:
                                                    Weinfeld, F.D., 14
  of differences, 15
                                                    Wilner, D. M., 24, 31
  of response, 7
  sources of, 7
                                                    Yates, F., 44, 49, 97, 100
Variables:
                                                    York, R. L., 14
```